## **Public Testimony Form - University of Hawaii Board of Regents**

EaZVhZ egdkYZ ndj giZhi1b dcndci] 1n [dgb [dgi] Z cZmiJ c1kZgh1n d[ = VI V^\ 7dVgY d[ GZ\Zcih b ZZi1c\#B V Z hj gZ ndj 1cXaj YZ Vaai] Z gZfj ZhiZY 1c[dgb Vi1dc hdi] Vii] Z 7dVgY d[ GZ\Zcih 1n VVVaZ id XaZVganj cYZghiVcY i] Z iZhi1b dcnegdkYZY#

\*\*All written testimony submitted are public documents. Therefore, any testimony submitted is public information and will be posted on the board's website.

Ydj gCVb Z kgZfj ^gZYł 🗓

CVVkV H1b X Zh

Ydj gDg\Vc'oVi'dc Łdei 'dcVal

Ydj gZ"b V?aVYYgZhh ٰc XVhZ I Z cZZY id gZVX] ndj ł 🚺

cVVkV5]VIV/#ZYj

7dVgYd[GZ\Zcih6\ZcYV \(\frac{1}{2}\)Zb \(\frac{1}{2}\)Tj \(\frac{1}{2}\)ZY\\(\tilde{\mathbb{I}}\)

H78I E " X#6#DkZgk ZI d[ 8VgZZgVcY I ZX] c XVaEYj XVi dc Dg\ Vc oVi dcVaHigi Xij gZ

Ydj gEdhîdc kozfj ôzYlûz

8db b Zcih Dcan

Ydj gl Zhi 15 dcn\$8db b Zcih

6ad] V#Û

c[dgb Vi dc eal/hiZgZY Vgdj cY XVb ej h#L] &Z >j cYZghiVcY i] Vi i] ZhZ VXi dch VgZ YdcZ ci] Z cVb Z d[ [gZZ heZZX]! I] Zc cYkYj Van egdb diZ i] Z Zgl/hj gZ d[ *hgl/ZaVcY i] Z ?Zl h] eZdeaZ! YdZhcfil Xgdhh i] Z acZ [gdb [gZZ heZZX] id] ViZ heZZX] 4 J = B VcdV h hj eedhZYan V YkZghZ VcY cXaj h kZ chi j i dc! dcZ i] Vi YdZh cdi Vaadl [dgi] Z YhXgb cVi dc d[ Vcn cYkYj Va WhZY dc i] Z ggl/XZ! gZa\ dc! dgZi] c Xhm#L] Zc >Xdb Z id XVb ej h VcY hZZ cYkYj Vah I ZVgc\ V E VaZhi c Vc @ZÂ nZ] VcY hZZ edhiZgh ckVaYVi c\ *hgl/Zafi g\] i id Zmhi!] dl Vb >hj eedhZY id [ZZahj eedg ZY! Q

## **BOR Agenda Item IV.D**

Kyle Kajihiro

I oppose III E

I oppose III B

Public Testimony Form - University of Hawaii Board of Regents

F. With less diverse faculty in student affairs, this move across the 4-year campuses will foster an environment that reduces students' sense of belonging and mattering to the 4-year campus and thus, adversely aff

https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764219869417
Hoyt, J. E. (2021). Student Connections: The Critical Role of Student Affairs and Academic Support Services in Retention Efforts.

Testimony presented to
University of Hawaii Board of Regents, 11-16-23 by
Dr. Jan Minoru Javinar, Faculty in Student Affairs
University of Hawaii WestM 0 Td(Bo)-5.9 .9 (d)]J0d(6)Tj-16.1 lySj6T3 (-0.031 Tw 0.185 0

## Unfair, inequitable, and discriminatory classification system of faculty will result from the adoption of the proposed amendments to RP9.202 and EP5.221

- A. While the proposed amendments succeed in reducing the alphabets in the soup of faculty categories, it sets up a discriminatory system that privileges one category over another.
- B. The proposed amendments discriminate against faculty in support areas at the 4-year campuses with elimination while comparable faculty in support areas at the 2-year campuses are allowed to remain.
- C. Whereas the 2-year faculty in support areas only require a bachelor's degree with 3 years of work experience and remain tenure-eligible, their 4-year counterparts will require a doctorate to even be considered tenure-eligible.
- D. Inequity abounds even within the 4-year faculty group in support areas as some categories like Extension Agents and Librarians are favored and privileged to exist while faculty performing other specialized educational services are erased, never acknowledged, and rendered extinct.
- E. The most injurious impact that will result from the proposed amendments is that a caste system of faculty is created and allows for different qualifications in terms of credential and years of work experience required. This discrimination in qualifications underscores the inequity that faculty at the 2-year campus receive the same base wage rates as faculty at the 4-year campuses even though the academic credential they require is less than a master's degree. The disparity of qualifying to become faculty at the 2-year campus over the 4-year campus is exacerbated for the CC faculty who unfairly receive the same base pay as the proposed F faculty.
- F. If the category of faculty working in support areas at the 4-year campuses is proposed for deletion and/or collapsing into the F category, shouldn't all faculty working in support areas be treated the same such that the F category would apply even at the 2-year campuses and to those Agents and Librarians at the 4-year campuses? Additionally, since the University negotiated the same base annual salary amounts for all faculty categories 0.9.13 (p)2.2 (ay) 15 1pi-6 (41()18u5.3)2.375 (t)10.6 (th)5.3 6 (a)2. (o)2.9 1pi-6 (ev)8.3t7 (r)3. (f)2.2